PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 2 0 APR 2005

_	_		
	***	~	_
. v	"	-(

PCT

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

. see form PCT/ISA/220

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing

(day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)

Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220

FOR FURTHER ACTION

See paragraph 2 below

International application No. PCT/B2005/050255

International filing date (day/month/year)

Priority date (day/month/year)

05.02.2004

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

21.01.2005

G11B7/125, H01S5/026

Applicant

To:

KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS N.V.

- This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:
 - Box No. I

Basis of the opinion

- ☐ Box No. II
- Priority
- ☐ Box No. III
 - Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- Box No. IV
- ☑ Box No. V
- Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- Certain documents cited
- ☑ Box No. VI Box No. VII
- Certain defects in the international application
- □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Moje, A

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2701



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2005/050255

	Box No. I Basis of the opinion
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type of material:
	□ a sequence listing
	□ table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. format of material:
	☐ in written format
	☐ in computer readable form
	c. time of filing/furnishing:
	☐ contained in the international application as filed.
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating there has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
1	Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2005/050255

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or Industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-15

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-15

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-15

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VI Certain documents cited

 Certain published documents (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.10) and /or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.9)

see form 210

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US -A- 2002 114 244 D2: US -A- 5 680 384

- The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-15 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.
- 2.1 D1 (cf. Figures 3 and 7-9A) discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document): an photo monitor circuit (190, 260), particular a photo monitor circuit for CD and DVD applications comprising:
 - a photodetector (74),
 - an amplifier (306,312) for amplifying an output signal of said photodetector (74), and
 - a sampling circuitry (330) for sampling an output signal of said amplifier (306,312).

The subject-matter of claim 1 therefore differs from D1 in that:

- the monitor circuit is integrated.

Integration, however, is a general aim in the field of electronics (cf. for example integrated circuits on wafer 30 of D2) and thus cannot contribute to inventive step.

Therefore claim 1 does not comprise an inventive step.

2.2 Claims 5, 6, 14 and 15 add only features which are known from D1 (cf. the optical disc drive system, paragraph [0002] and disk drive 60 including a pickup 68). Thus, these features cannot contribute to inventive step.

2.3 Claims 2-4 and 7-13 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step, because these features are either known from D1 or D2 or relate to standard design.

Re Item VI

Certain documents cited

Certain published documents

Application No Patent No Publication date (day/month/year) Filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (valid claim) (day/month/year)

WO -A- 2004081921

23/09/2004

11/3/2004

11/3/2003

This document anticipates the subject-matter of claims 1,2,5-9 and 12-15.

Re Item VII

Certain defects in the international application

The independent claims are not in the two-part form as required by Rule 6.3(b) PCT, whereby those features which in combination are part of the prior art (see D1 or D2) are placed in the preamble.

The documents D1, D2 and WO -A- 2004081921 have not been identified in the description nor has the relevant background art disclosed therein been discussed. The requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT are, thus, not fulfilled.

If new claims are filed the applicant should bring the description into conformity with the independent claims (Rule 5.1 (a)(ii)(iii) PCT).